

planning  
transport  
design  
environment  
infrastructure

Document 3.1 – ES Volume 2

Appendix 5.2: Air Quality – Stack Height Determination for WKN

Wheelabrator Kemsley (K3 Generating Station) and Wheelabrator Kemsley North  
(WKN) Waste to Energy Facility DCO

September 2019 -Submission Version

PINS ref: EN010083



## Appendix 5.2: Stack Height Determination for WKN

---

A stack height determination has been undertaken for WKN to establish the height at which there is minimal additional environmental benefit associated with the cost of further raising the stack. A stack height determination was undertaken for K3 in the 2010 ES.

The Environment Agency removed their detailed guidance, Horizontal Guidance Note EPR H1 [1], for undertaking risk assessments on 1 February 2016; however, the approach used here by RPS is consistent with that EA guidance which required the identification of *“an option that gives acceptable environmental performance but balances costs and benefits of implementing it.”*

The emissions data used in the stack height determination are summarised in Chapter 5: Air Quality, Section 5.3. Simulations have been run using ADMS 5 to determine what stack height is required to provide adequate dispersion/dilution and to overcome local building wake effects.


The stack height determination considers ground level concentrations over the averaging periods relevant to the air quality assessment, together with the full range of all likely meteorological conditions through the use of five years of hourly sequential meteorological data from Gravesend. The model was run for a range of stack heights between 80 m to 110 m, at 5 m increments.

The dispersion modelling for the purposes of stack height determination assumed a domain of 20 km by 20 km centred on the proposed development and with a grid spacing of 200 m. Results have been reported for the location where the highest concentration is predicted. This is considered a robust and conservative approach.


The predicted Process Contributions (PCs) as a percentage of the relevant Environmental Assessment Level (EAL) have been plotted against height in Graph 5.2.1 (at long-term emission limits) and Graph 5.2.2 (at short-term emission limits) to indicate if there is a height beyond which the benefit from further increases in stack height are diminished. The PCs as a percentage of the relevant EALs are provided in Table 5.2.1 and Table 5.2.2 respectively.

---

Graph 5.2.1: Maximum Predicted Process Contribution as Percentage of EAL vs Stack Height at Long-term IED Limits



Graph 5.2.2: Maximum Predicted Process Contribution as Percentage of EAL vs Stack Height at Short-term IED Limits



**Table 5.2.1 Maximum Predicted Process Contributions as a Percentage of the Relevant EAL at each Stack Height Modelled – Long-term IED Concentration Limits**

Environmental Assessment Level ( $\mu\text{g.m}^{-3}$ )	Percentage of Environmental Assessment Level (%)									
	40	50	750	50	350	10000	40	200	125	266
Height (m)	Annual-mean $\text{PM}_{10}$	90.41st percentile daily mean $\text{PM}_{10}$	Maximum hourly HCl	Annual mean $\text{SO}_2$	99.73rd percentile hourly mean $\text{SO}_2$	Maximum 8-hour running CO	Annual mean $\text{NO}_2$	99.79th percentile $\text{NO}_2$	99.18th percentile daily mean $\text{SO}_2$	99.9th percentile 15-minute mean $\text{SO}_2$
80	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.9	1.8	0.06	3.1	4.5	2.8	2.7
85	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.8	1.7	0.06	2.6	4.2	2.3	2.6
90	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.7	1.5	0.05	2.3	3.9	2.0	2.4
95	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.6	1.4	0.05	2.1	3.6	1.8	2.3
100	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5	1.3	0.04	1.9	3.4	1.6	2.1
105	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5	1.2	0.04	1.7	3.2	1.5	2.0
110	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	1.2	0.04	1.5	3.0	1.4	1.9

Cells are shaded grey where the predicted process contribution is above 1% of EAL for long-term average periods and 10% for short-term average periods.

**Table 5.2.2 Maximum Predicted Process Contributions as a Percentage of the Relevant EAL at each Stack Height Modelled – Short-term IED Concentration Limits**

	Percentage of Environmental Assessment Level (%)						
Environmental Assessment Level ( $\mu\text{g.m}^{-3}$ )	50	750	200	10000	350	125	266
Height (m)	90.41st percentile daily mean $\text{PM}_{10}$	Maximum hourly HCl	99.79th percentile $\text{NO}_2$	Maximum 8-hour running CO	99.73rd percentile hourly mean $\text{SO}_2$	99.18th percentile daily mean $\text{SO}_2$	99.9th percentile 15-minute mean $\text{SO}_2$
80	1.7	1.5	9.1	0.12	7.1	11.1	10.8
85	1.4	1.4	8.4	0.11	6.6	9.0	10.2
90	1.2	1.4	7.9	0.10	6.1	8.2	9.7
95	1.1	1.2	7.2	0.09	5.6	7.1	9.2
100	1.0	1.2	6.9	0.09	5.2	6.5	8.5
105	1.0	1.1	6.3	0.08	5.0	5.9	8.1
110	0.9	1.1	5.9	0.08	4.7	5.4	7.6

Cells are shaded grey where the predicted process contribution is above 10% for short-term average periods.

## Discussion

The results in Table 5.2.1 indicate that there are no heights below 110 m at which the impacts can be screened-out as insignificant based on the PC alone when the plant is operating at long-term IED concentration limits as the maximum predicted PC for annual-mean NO<sub>2</sub> is above 1% at all heights.

The ambient annual-mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration adopted for the assessment is 31.7 µg.m<sup>-3</sup>. When the maximum predicted PC at 80m for the annual-mean NO<sub>2</sub> is added to the ambient NO<sub>2</sub> concentration, the total Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) is 32.9 µg.m<sup>-3</sup> at 80m. This is below the EAL of 40 µg.m<sup>-3</sup> for NO<sub>2</sub>. On that basis, and according to the EA guidance, the impacts would not be considered significant at 80 m or above.

The results in Table 5.2.2 indicate that the PC is above 10% of the EAL for heights below 90m.

Based on the results of the detailed stack height modelling and using professional judgement, an acceptable stack height for the assessment of the WKN Proposed Development is considered to 90 m and the detailed modelling undertaken in this report assumes a 90 m high stack.

It should be noted that this is based on the information available to date. The stack height may be subject to change and may increase as the detailed design for the WKN Proposed Development continues to evolve. The stack height will be confirmed as part of the formal submission to PINS in spring 2019.

---

## References

- 1 Environment Agency (2010) Environmental Permitting Regulations (EPR) – H1 Environmental Risk Assessment, Annex K
-